

Town of Webster Conservation Commission Minutes of the Meeting – June 23, 2022

A meeting of the Conservation Commission was held on June 23, 2022 via conference call in accordance with Governor Baker's emergency order Suspending Certain Provisions of the Open Meeting Law, G.L. c.30A, §20 due to the public health emergency relating to the Coronavirus pandemic.

Attending:

Chairman, Joey Wigglesworth, Vice Chairwoman, Michelle Sherillo,

Dr. Robin Jewell, Fred Bock, Dan Duteau, Karen Bartholomew,

Hayden Brown

Staff:

Mary Overholt, Conservation Agent

Tracy Coporale, Recording Secretary

Meeting called to order: 5:38pm

Chairman Joey Wigglesworth reads the Governor's Orders regarding Open Meeting Law, G.L. c. 30A § 20.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

Mr. Duteau motions to approve meeting minutes of May 16, 2022. Dr. Jewell second. Votes all in favor by roll call vote.

Mr. Duteau motions to approve meeting minutes of June 6, 2022, Dr. Jewell second. 4 Votes in favor 2 Abstained by roll call vote. Ms. Sherillo abstained. Mr. Bock abstained

Request for Determination of Applicability (RDAs)

48 Bates Point Road – Expansion of an existing patio with pavers. John Sczepanski (Applicant). Mr. Wigglesworth opens the public hearing. Mr. Duteau reads the public notice. Ms. Overholt showing the hand drawn sketch and pictures of the area. Should condition gravel under the pavers. Using regular pavers, suggest to him to separate a little bit when laying them down. Recommend a wattle or silt fence for protection. If they need to cut any stone, try to cut in the front of the house. He needs to file a Chapter 91 dock permit, add as a condition.

Dr. Jewell motions for a negative determination for 48 Bates Point Road, with conditions. Mr. Duteau second. 6 Votes all in favor by roll call vote. Mr. Brown abstained.

Mr. Brown joined 5:50 pm

Public Hearing - Notice of Intent

0 Thompson Road – Construction of a parking facility. Three Hundred, LLC (Applicant). Mr. Wigglesworth opens the public meeting. Mr. Duteau reads the public notice. Mr. Stephen Balcewicz, BC Engineering & Surveying, Inc., representing. Ms. Overholt showing the site plan (9 pages). The first sheet is the cover sheet it shows the large lot abutting Route 395 on the west and on the east it lists all of the abutters. The site consists of 38.67 acres. The majority of the site is in B5 business district and the southern portion is in the ASFR zone which is agricultural single family residence. The zoning is being

shown. Sheet 2 is the existing conditions plan it's the topography of the site to be developed. It is trees with brush. There were some dead trees removed with no disturbance of the earth. The wetlands were flagged by Nathan Hayden and Assoc. LLC. In the northerly portion is the Colonial Club. It's tied into the sewer plan on Thompson Rd. Mr. Balcewicz wasn't sure of the exact dated the delineation was done, somewhere between November and March. All the flags are numbered every 5 around to the back portion and to the other boat facility where he currently parks commercial vehicles. Also on this plan is the 25ft, 50ft and 100ft buffer zones. He shows the benchmarks of the deep test holes, 60 test holes and 1 perk test done in the area where they are proposing the detention basis. The report was provided. Project area lot#1 is 38.6669 acres and a total lot area of 38.67 acres. Area of disturbance is 5.82 acres, 12% of disturbance. The undisturbed is 32.85 acres. From the 25ft buffer zone in, all the trees are to be removed and will be gravel roadways and grass areas for parking boats. No pavement proposed. Sheet 3 is the layout plan. All the roadways and spaces are labeled. All the parking area for commercial vehicles are grass and using the same grass mix as used on the previous site. The spaces are 9ft wide, all the depths of 25.5 and 21.5 labeled. It shows 2-way traffic to accommodate safety vehicles. There are a total of 285 25.5 by 9 spaces and 54 21.5 by 9 spaces shown on the plan. Sheet 4 is the drainage and grading plan, the roadways are shaded with gray. On the easterly side there's a slope and graded at 1% and mid-site it steepens to 2%. All water flows east to west and by wetland flag A10 there's a grass swale which is 200ft long and at 100ft there's a check dam and there are details, its slope is 1% on the grass swale. They have a riprap swale which is 110ft to 115ft long, with a check dam. At the end of the riprap swale there is a level spreader, with a check dam before it enters the bottom of the basin at 482.7. The entire site is graded at a 3:1 slope. There's an outlet control structure on the westerly end with holes to release the water. There's an emergency spill way and a riprap level spreader with a pipe coming out of the outlet control structure and discharges onto the same level spreader. It will seep through the storm wall and into the wetlands around A25 wetland area. Stayed outside the 25ft buffer. Sheet 5 is erosion and sedimentation control plan showing the gravel driveways and grading with wattles and silt fence around the entire perimeter of the work site. Mr. Wigglesworth asks; are there any upgrades or modifications to the current parking facility area, new gravel? Mr. Balcewicz explains that the old site, called 300, is done with the modification of storing docks which are retail overflow. Nothing will be modified in the older facility area. It shows the areas of disturbance and the buffer zones and the wattles. Sheet 6 is the detail sheet showing all the drainage. It shows the riprap swale, a grass channel, a flared end from the outlet controls structure, and for the protection at the end, shows the emergency spill way, level spreader, the outlet controls structure and the holes being bored into it. Sheet 7 shows material stock pile area and procedures that goes along with it. Shows a construction entrance, juke netting, wattles, silt fence. Sheet 8 shows tree plantings, shrub plantings and ground covers. Would like to hear the board's recommendations on plantings. Shows the check dams, the sediment detail and a fence detail. Sheet 9 is the storm water pollution prevention plan, it lists all the details and practices. Mr. Wigglesworth suggests walking the site with Mr. Balcewicz. Larger projects like this the Conservation Commission will need a pier review on the wetland delineation. He let Brandon Faneuf from EcoSystems Solutions, know about doing a pier review. This is a cross check to review the area. Mr. Balcewicz to contact Mr. Faneuf to set up a review and report back to the Commission. The Commission would like to know, where is the water going? Are there any jurisdictional lines? Any specialized habitat that's taken refuge in this area? Since the water is heading to the wetland we should get some input from Mr. Chuck Eaton, Webster Town Engineer. It's important to know where the water is going? Are we using the right device? This is a sizeable project and they want to be sure it's done right. Mr. Balcewicz is confident that the lines are accurate. He wants to consolidate the plans with any concerns from the board. Mr. Duteau asks about the entrance road, is that gravel or paved? That road is paved about 250ft with riprap stone shoulders on each side. That pavement isn't extending any further. It's going through the fence and allows access to the upland. That road is an existing road. Everything is shaded in gray is proposed. The cart path goes

around east to west and that wore the path using tractors. Dr. Jewell asks; where will the boats be parked? Mr. Rory Duquette, Operations Manager at Lakeview Marine says, in the center on the grass, which is in the existing conditions of the 300 site plan. Mr. Wigglesworth asks about a spill kit? Mr. Duquette says, yes there's a spill kit there 100% of the time on site. These boats are winterized and inspected by Lakeview before they go onto the site. Nothing is left there by customers. Dr. Jewell asks how far is it from the water? It's a good distance. Ms. Bartholomew asks about the remaining 30 acres of land in the topography. Ms. Overholt shows the sheet with the 38 acres. There's no flood plain. No lights on the property. Ms. Bartholomew asks the Commission; do we want to know how many big trees are being removed? The workable area is less than 5 acres. Mr. Wigglesworth explains; that is why we should have a pier review done, because based on when the delineation was done and if the line ends up moving, it may alter the plan a bit. He suggests to get Mr. Faneuf involved as soon as possible. Mr. Wigglesworth noticed that there could be a habitat vernal pool and needs a professional to take a look. Can trees be preserved? Mr. Balcewicz explains the trees will be removed to protect the boats. He wants to propose evergreens to replace some of them. Mr. Duquette doesn't have a problem maintaining trees, but needs to protect customers and their property. Has tried to keep as much natural as possible. Ms. Bartholomew asks about the trees from the 100ft buffer to the wetland and notes that there's a tree policy. Should they maintain? Mr. Wigglesworth suggests maybe they could get some trees put back near the wetland area. He is more concerned about how Mr. Eaton feels about the grading and the storm water and wants to get notes from Mr. Eaton and the review from Mr. Faneuf, then go back out and walk the site with Mr. Balcewicz. He would like to look at the old storage area. Ms. Overholt mentions that there's no certificate of compliance on it, there's no NOI, and the order wasn't recorded. Mr. Duquette doesn't know what happened after the permits and the recordings. He has no problem doing what is needed. He doesn't have an order or anything, no originals. Mr. Wigglesworth asks, do you currently have a fence around the current 300 area? Yes. Mr. Wigglesworth, asks how far does the fence go? There are some gaps, at the gate there's a gap, and some of the gaps are 6 inches or 12 inches off the ground. Mr. Wigglesworth asks, have you seen any wildlife in there? Mr. Duquette says, he has seen rabbits, squirrels etc. Ms. Overholt asks for the report from Eco Tech of the property. Once Ms. Overholt receives all necessary paperwork they can close out the old order. They need to schedule another site visit, Saturday, June 26th at 8:30am, at Lakeview Marine with Mr. Duquette. Mr. Duteau asks about the grading in the 25ft buffer zone. Will the trees remain? Yes. There's a 25ft natural area. They will have erosion controls that will be the limits of work. Ms. Bartholomew asks if there's a detail for the gravel roadway? They need a detail. All slopes are 3:1. Loamed and seeded. Mr. Doug Valeri, an abutter at 298 Thompson Road, addresses concerns on the original 300 lot with the March planning board hearing it was stated that before there is an expansion they need to clean up existing issues on the existing 300 lot. He is in violation per the building inspector. The retail overflow, as they are calling it, are docks and sheds, which are covered by blue tarps. Mr. Wigglesworth suggests that something like that will have to be brought to the attention of the planning board. Mr. Valeri also notes that there's no order of conditions or a certificate of compliance on the lot and the last order of conditions expired in 2017. He doesn't understand why it's allowed to be refiled. Mr. Wigglesworth explains that it's not a refile. It is a standing order of conditions and it needs to be closed out. The conditions on that project, they can't continue to do work on. After 3 years the permit has to be closed out in order to get another permit. Mr. Valeri reads some discrepancies from the DEP notes. Mr. Balcewicz has the report from Eco Tech. Mr. Wigglesworth explains that this is why the pier review is important. Mr. Valeri asks if there's gas in these boats and why isn't there some kind of recharge systems or oil water separator to keep the ground clear of gas, if it leaks from the boats? If the boat is near the swale area, it will go into the wetland area. Mr. Wigglesworth would rather see this area be a naturalized perviable area rather than an impervious area. Mr. Valeri states, that most commercial parking areas are paved. Mr. Balcewicz explains the regulations for paved parking areas and notes there is no pavement proposed here. He also notes that there is oil and

gas drainage that comes off of Route 395 as well. Mr. Duteau states that there are a number of facilities where boats are parked in the winter and there's not too many concerns about the leaking of 100 gallons of gasoline. Occasionally one may leak a little, but we have to rely on the people who are taking care of the lot to be sure this isn't happening. Mr. Wigglesworth makes note that the planning board and the conservation will be working with Lakeview Marine to be sure that a proper operating and maintenance program is in place and discussed with everyone involved. There will be protocols in place. There's a spill kit on site. Things leak, it happens. Dr. Jewell asks, if it would be worth putting catch basins in the area? Mr. Balcewicz explains that they don't use catch basins in an unpaved area, they fill up with silt. They are taking the approach of natural/green in this area of gravel and grass roads, that's it. Mr. Valeri asks if the swale is built for a 100 year storm? Yes. Mr. Valeri says, the trees that were removed weren't dead trees, they were healthy trees. He is unhappy that this is going on. Mr. Wigglesworth explains that they will do everything they can to be sure everything goes correctly. Kristin Valeri at 298 Thompson Road, speaks, this has been judgmental to the abutters. There's no natural screening behind the house. There's a sea of boats. The neighbors are complaining about dust and dirt in the area caused by the trucks coming too fast from about 200ft of paved area and onto the dirt, which is causing issues for the swimming pools, and they can't open windows in the house. There's a chain-linked fence that is overgrown with vines, and is falling down. The only screening is their own trees. However, their trees were flagged by Mr. Duquette to be removed. She stopped the process because the trees flagged were on her property. Mr. Duquette addresses Ms. Valeri and says that he planted arborvitaes, which weren't part of the order of conditions and has left alone the natural growth that is beyond the fence, which is for the natural screening. Ms. Valeri states that they only received 8 trees to replace all the trees that were cut down. Ms. Sherillo speaks and reminds the abutters that it's not the time to go back and forth and that the Conservation Commission will review everything and take the comments seriously and will make sure everything is taken care of properly. Ms. Valeri's concern is the lack of care for the existing property is going to transfer to the current work and the property won't be maintained. Abutter, Christina Zisk at 302 Thompson Road speaks, she has lived here for 33 years. She enjoys the wetlands that are in the back of her house. She would like to see everybody work together to maintain the wetlands and keep it intact. There's a lot of wildlife that doesn't come through as much. Her swimming pool currently collects a lot of dirt from the road and it's hard to keep the pool clean enough to swim in. Abutter, Loraine Sashy lives with Jane Kirby at 260 Thompson Road and enjoys the wetlands as well. Her aunt has breathing problems and the dust is bothering her. She is very upset of the wetlands being disturbed. Mr. Duteau suggests we move on after hearing the abutters' concerns. Mr. Wigglesworth reminds these abutters that we condition the development. This is before the Conservation Commission because the property is adjacent to wetlands. We do see a natural system, we will have a pier review done. Mr. Dennehy at 264 Thompson Road, explains that there's no silt fence, they shouldn't be cutting without a permit and they shouldn't be working back there until they are permitted to do it. Mr. Wigglesworth explains that the Commission is well aware of this and there is no work commencing until further information is gathered. Mr. Duteau motions to continue 0 Thompson Road to July 7th meeting. Ms. Sherillo second. Votes all in favor by roll call vote.

49 Arkwright Road and 30 Worcester Road – Restoration of the historic gradient and flow of Mill Brook, EBT Environmental Consultants, Inc. (Applicant) Continued to July 18th.

90 Bates Point Road – Addition to the home, replacement of a patio and stone retaining wall (continued from June 6th). Mr. Patrick Healy, Thompson-Liston Associates, Inc. present, Mr. Albert Mendelsohn, (Applicant), Cadco Inc., Construction and Development Consulting, present, Mr. Rick Pellechio (Owner) is present. Ms. Overholt shows revised plans dated 6/21/22. Ms. Sherillo is a little confused about the

drainage. There's a drywell and she only sees 1 roof drain. One of the plans show one of the drains towards the lake and she isn't sure what is going on. Mr. Healy summarizes that the house was a total loss due to the water damage, the mold and the structural instability of the foundation. They clarified that the house is to be replaced in the same footprint. They are showing the expansion of the patio and now showing the decks over hanging the patio above the existing patio. Due to expanding the patio they are proposing a drywell on the driveway side to pick up the run-off from the garage to balance out the increase in the patio. Ms. Sherillo asks what is happening to the house drainage? There are a couple drains in the existing patio that collect that run-off. There are some roof drains that come down and runs underneath the patio into an existing drywell and collects the run-off. They provided the impervious calculations for pre-development and what will be included in the expansion of the patio, and the fact that they are impervious pavers reduces the net increase, but results in a decrease of 681sqft. Ms. Overholt explains that since the impervious area isn't that great and it's in the same footprint, it's a little different than a complete tear down and it's a tight lot to put too many cultecs in. Mr. Healy further explains that the proposed drywell is on the upper end of the house and only collects the run-off from the upper roof over the garage. Ms. Bartholomew asks, did you add the buffer zone? Yes. They are showing the 25ft, the 50ft and the 100ft buffer zone. The patio is within the 25 it's replacing an existing crushed stone slope with the pervious pavers and it did provide a reduction in the impervious area. They are proposing to put in plants and ground cover in the 25ft area. So now they are showing the additional ground cover and removing the mulch, as requested. Ms. Bartholomew asks about providing distances from the existing wall to the waterline? Mr. Healy provided Ms. Overholt with the list of changes that were asked for and summarizes them:

- Showed the proposed footprint
- Showed the off-sets to the property line
- Added the proposed dock and footings
- They clarified the removal of the pressure treated wood planters and steps on the northeast side by the driveway
- Added a note about maintaining and supplementing the riprap along the shore
- Added ground cover on the 3:1 slope
- Added notes about the 2 trees and try to maintain them if possible or replace with similar trees
- Added a turbidity curtain in the water
- Revised our detail of the sediment control barrier to add a silt fence
- Added details showing the 4" gap on the top of the retaining wall
- They added a separate sheet to list the sequence of work

The wall is out of the water. There is a detail that shows the wall. No concerns from the DEP comments. No abutter comments.

Dr. Jewell motions to close the public hearing for 90 Bates Point Road. Mr. Duteau second. Votes 6 in favor, 1 abstained by roll call vote. Mr. Brown abstained.

Ms. Sherillo motions to issue the Order of Conditions for 90 Bates Point Road. Mr. Duteau second. Votes 6 in favor, 1 abstained by roll call vote. Mr. Brown abstained.

14 Douglas Road – Replacing the existing garage. Bernard and Geraldine Czajkowski (Applicants), (continued from June 6) Ms. Overholt showing the revised plan of 6/23/22. Mr. Glenn Krevosky, representing. Mr. Krevosky explains that during the last meeting there were items missing, so now there is a spill kit on the corner adjacent to the garage. To answer the question about the drainage grate in the driveway... it was clogged and the water from the driveway is running over the grass. They are taking the grate off and seal it. There's now a stock pile area to the left of the garage. The garage is expanding 3ft wider. They altered the river front area by .002% more, it's 3ft x1ft towards the road. The cinder block

wall is coming out and they are replacing the wall and not getting closer to the resource area. No DEP comments. No abutter comments.

Dr. Jewell motions to close the public hearing for 14 Douglas Road. Mr. Brown second. Votes 6 in favor, 1 abstained by roll call vote. Mr. Brown abstained.

Ms. Sherillo motions to issue the Order of Conditions for 14 Douglas Road. Mr. Duteau second. Votes 6 in favor, 1 abstained by roll call vote. Mr. Brown abstained.

70 Bates Point Road - Construction of a single family home. Hadeer Shaikhly (Applicant), present. (continued from June 6, 2022). Mr. Glenn Krevosky, present, Ms. Lesley Wilson, present, Ms. Overholt sharing the revised plans. Ms. Wilson explains she submitted a letter addressing all of the boards involved and edited plans accordingly. The landscape plan is revised, the arborvitaes will be removed during construction then replanted and if any do not live through the removal they will be replaced. They are moving the car port. The permeable sidewalk is detailed showing how it will be designed. Also added the EcoTech plans and where the tests were done and the results of the tests to the plans set. Mr. Shaikhly is sharing the revised plan. They fixed the language on the plans. The cultecs are catching the water on the right side due to more room to infiltrate. Mr. Krevosky talked to Mia McDonald at DEP and gave the off sets. The wall is surveyed in its location and the new wall is in the same exact location. If necessary, they can survey the toe of the slop in the location and stake it out so to be sure they build the wall exactly in the same location, to insure they aren't filling any flood plain or land under water, which was the concern of DEP. Ms. Wilson showed where the dewatering pits will be. Added the labeling on which wall was wall#1 and wall#2 so that's clear. The stairs are the same width which are 4ft wide and they are insuring that all the flood plain is back and no fill. There's a 3inch lip and there's no water running off the top of the wall and that is in the detail of the wall. No trees to be cut down. They fixed the construction sequencing when the silt fence will be installed. Added the details of the pool. Added the details of the pervious pavers. Moved the spill kit further from the lake near the walkway. All of the equipment refueling should take place near the street and at the end of the night all equipment needs to be parked in the upper driveway area. Orange construction fence is being used. Mr. Shaikhly speaks and thanks the entire team for working on all the details and he notes that everything has been addressed that the Conservation Commission has recommended such as; pulling the house back and they addressed everything line by line and applied it to the plan. They addressed the concerns from DEP. Mr. Wigglesworth feels the site is as permeable as it can be. It looks good. Ms. Sherillo asked if Mr. Shaikhly is going to the planning board for a variance for the 40+% coverage of the site? Yes. She is concerned that the planning board will think that the Commission endorses the 40+% coverage. How does the Commission handle this to be sure the planning board knows that we did the best we could? Ms. Wilson explains that Mr. Chuck Eaton, Town Engineer, had 3 comments: he asked for the infiltration system be shown on the plan, which was on sheet 2. He asked for the test pits and the ground water testing be shown so that sheet, which was added to the set. He asked for the detail of the permeable sidewalk. She made all the changes that the planning board has asked for. Ms. Overholt suggests Conservation Commission submit a comment letter to the planning board stating that they don't endorse the 40+% percent coverage. Ms. Bartholomew asks to see the elevations of the pool plans. Ms. Overholt showing the plans. Is the 10ft difference shown on the pool detail? Yes, on the detail of the pool. The elevations are shown clearest on the foundation stabilization plan. Mr. Shaikhly explains the pool is the same elevation as the patio and the house so that is flush. There's a 10ft drop of grass that goes between the house and the lake. About 5ft is the catch area that catches the overflow from the pool and circulates the water back into the pool. So standing on the grass you're about 10ft in before you see the pool. There's also an area where there will be fencing round the pool for safety. There's plantings around the pool as well. Looking from the lake you see the retaining wall, then the grass, then the hydrangeas along the wall to the pool. No comments from abutters. He owes a \$200 fee for the wall. Ms. Bartholomew

asks about the first comment regarding meeting the performance standards and updating the NOI. Mr. Krevosky explains that the performance standards on any natural bank gives allowance to alter 10% of the bank you own or 50 linear feet, whichever is less. It's not a natural bank that's why they can replace an existing wall with a wall by keeping the wall exactly where it was and not altering any natural banks so there's no performance standards required. They are not altering any land under water they are staying in the same place and using the stronger concrete, which is 3. It's on sheet 2 under the erosion control plan. Ms. Bartholomew asks; since you are digging under water to you have to update the NOI? Mr. Krevosky explains that they are only digging a little bit to pour the footings then they will be putting the gravel back so it's the same elevation 2ft wide. There's no footing extending into the lake, it goes inland twice the depth with the sand on top of it. No abutters comments.

Mr. Duteau motions to close the public hearing for 70 Bates Point Road. Ms. Sherillo second. 6 Votes in favor, 1 abstained by roll call vote. Mr. Brown abstained.

Ms. Sherillo motions to issue the Order of Conditions. Mr. Duteau second. 5 Votes in favor, 2 abstained by roll call vote. Mr. Brown abstained. Ms. Bartholomew abstained.

Discussion Items: New Business

Webster Lake Association – 2022 Treatment Plan – Ms. Overholt showing the revised map. It doesn't have the protected areas on it. Ms. Overholt to ask Mr. Laframboise to put the overlay of the ecological protected area zones and the bald eagle habitat protected zone, which is over near the long island area. The ecological protected zone in the south pond area doesn't go any further than the Spetseris Island and into the Cedar Swamp. The well head is an area of exclusion; Stump Pond is a protected zone. It should extend to the bridge area because it's shallow. Just remind Mr. Laframboise where the protected areas are and the habitat areas. Ms. Overholt mentions that Mr. Robert is pushing for new development and asking about dredging a part of the protected area and possibly building an emergency road. Mr. Wigglesworth is more concerned about the area being considered for building condos. That's a major development. Ms. Sherillo asks if they can make sure there's restrictions put on this map when the results are communicated and feels it will be helpful if there's consistency of the restricted areas and keeping in communication with Webster Lake Association. The Conservation Commission should have a detailed map for these ecological preservation zones and keep communication open. The Conservation Commission is meeting with Webster Lake Association in the fall.

Point Pleasant Rd – Overflow of mulch around a catch basin. Mr. Wigglesworth had talks with the town about repairing that catch basin.

Goddard Street – No representation for this project. Ms. Overholt is meeting with the wetland scientist. She believes they stopped the work, but is not 100% sure. Mr. Wigglesworth will drive by again and if the work has not stopped please record the enforcement orders with the registry. Need to get permission from the town to be reimbursed for the filing fees.

20 Westwind – Certificate of Compliance – Ms. Overholt showing photos and everything looks good. Ms. Sherillo motions to issue a Certificate of Compliance for DEP# 323-1085 20 Westwind Drive. Mr. Brown second. Votes all in favor by roll call vote.

1 Cudworth Road – Certificate of Compliance (Continue to July 7, 2022)

328 Killdeer Road – Certificate of Compliance – Ms. Overholt showing photos of downspouts into dissipaters. Mr. Brown motions to issue a Certificate of Compliance for DEP#323-1027 328 Killdeer Rd, Ms. Sherillo second. Votes all in favor by roll call vote.

56 Worcester Road – Enforcement Order for work right up next to a wetland. They are ready for a site visit. They pulled it back and seeded. Visit Saturday morning.

67 Colonial – Mr. Arnold still awaiting plans.

Mr. Bock motions to Adjourn at 9:00pm. Mr. Duteau second. Votes all in favor.

Documents: 48 Bates Point Road RDA Photos & sketch	Dated 6/7/22	Pages 6
0 Thompson Road Site Plans, BC Engineering & Surveying	Dated 6/8/22	Pages 9
90 Bates Point Road Final Plans, Thompson-Liston	Dated 6/21/22	Pages 5
14 Douglas Road Plan, New England Land Survey	Dated 6/23/22	Pages 1
70 Bates Point Road Revised Plan Set, HS&T Group, Inc.	Dated 6/23/22	Pages 1
Webster Lake Association Map Treatment Areas	Dated 6/15/22	Pages 1
20 Westwind Drive Photos		
328 Killdeer Road Photos		

Next Meeting Date: July 7, 2022 – Location to be determined.

Respectfully submitted,

Tracy Coporale
Recording Secretary
Conservation Commission Approval:

Chairman

Chairman